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## Why Win Probabilities Matter

- Classical ranking says the higher ranking team wins

1 Kansas


## Why Win Probabilities Matter: Answering Questions

- Who is the favorite to win the tournament?
- How often does Kentucky make the Final Four?
- Will St. Mary's advance to the Sweet 16 ?



## Why Win Probabilities Matter: Taking Your Friend's \$\$

- Essential for non-standard point systems


## Tournament Path Matters: Extreme Example

| $\frac{\text { Rank }}{1}$ |  | Win Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Team A | $51 \%$ |
| 2 | Team B | $49 \%$ |
| 3 |  |  |
| 4 | Team C | $100 \%$ |
|  | Team D | $0 \%$ |

- Assume Team A and Team B beat Team C 65\% of the time:
- Championship Odds:

Team A: 33\%
Team B: 32\%
Team C: 35\%

- Classical ranking chooses Team A, but Team C has the best chance of winning


## Actual Tournament Path Examples

- 2003: \#2 Kentucky over \#1 Kansas to win championship
- Kentucky 14\%, Kansas 13\%
- 2010: \#2 Kansas over \#1 Duke to win championship
- Kansas 24.8\%, Duke 24.5\%
- 2010: \#18 Villanova more likely than \#15 Baylor to make Elite 8
- Villanova 35\%, Baylor 33\%


## Data to Rank: Points per Possession

CofC's Points Scored per Possession versus UNC


- Efficiency: mean number of points scored per possession
- Removes effect of pace on a team's points scored and allowed
- Possessions estimated with FGA - OR + TO $+0.475 \times$ FTA


## Linear Model of Difference in Per Game Efficiency

- $D_{i j}$ : difference in team i's and team j's efficiency
- Linear regression where we assume

$$
D_{i j} \sim \mathrm{~N}\left(\alpha(\text { home })+\beta_{i}-\beta_{j}, \sigma_{d}^{2}\right)
$$

- $\beta_{i}$ : rating for team $i$
- home $= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if team } i \text { is at home } \\ -1 & \text { if team } i \text { is away } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$
- When $D_{i j}>0$, team $i$ beats team $j$


## Assumption of Normality

Histogram of residuals


Normal Q-Q Plot


## Estimates from the Linear Model for 2010

- Home Court: $\hat{\alpha}=0.05=3.5$ points
- Standard Deviation: $\hat{\sigma_{d}}=0.15=10.5$ points

Estimated UNC at CofC Efficiency Difference


- $\operatorname{Pr}($ CofC Win $)=0.30$


## Answering Questions with the Linear Model

- Who is the favorite to win the tournament?
- Kansas, $25 \%$
- How often does Kentucky make the Final Four?
- 17\%
- Will St. Mary's advance to the Sweet 16 ?
- 25\%


## Multinomial Model of Point Probabilities

- Estimates probability of scoring points on possessions
- We consider $0,1,2$, or $\geq 3$ points
- Multinomial Logistic Regression:

$$
\log \left(\frac{\pi_{i}}{\pi_{0}}\right)=\alpha+\beta_{0}(\text { home })+\beta_{i}+\beta_{j}, \text { for } i=1,2,3
$$

- $\beta_{i}$ : rating for team $i$
- home $= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if team } i \text { is at home } \\ -1 & \text { if team } i \text { is away } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$


## Estimating Points Scored on Possessions

- Play-by-play data is scarce
- Data can be estimated using the box score
- For example, to estimate the number of zeros:
- $0.97 \times$ FGA-FGM $+0.27 \times$ FTA-FTM $-0.96 \times O R+1.02 \times$ TO
- Similar models for ones, twos, and $\geq$ threes


## Estimates from the Multinomial Model for 2010

## Estimated UNC at CofC Points Scored per Possession



- $\operatorname{Pr}($ CofC Win $)=0.26$ (Linear Model: 0.30$)$


## Multinomial Model: Probabilities of Winning

- Estimated with simulation
- Assumptions:
- Possessions are independent
- Each team will have $n$ offensive possessions
- For the desired number of simulations:
(1) Simulate $n$ possessions using model probabilities
(2) Determine winner of game (ignore ties)
- Use results to estimate probability of winning


## Answering Questions with the Multinomial Model

- Who is the favorite to win the tournament?
- Duke, 21\% (Linear Model: Kansas, 25\%)
- How often does Kentucky make the Final Four?
- 18\% (Linear Model: 17\%)
- Will St. Mary's advance to the Sweet 16 ?
- 26\% (Linear Model: 25\%)


## Model Comparison: ESPN Scores

- Earn $2^{r-1} \times 10$ points for rounds $r=1,2, \ldots, 6$
- Maximum of 1920 points possible

| Season | Linear | Multinomial | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 | 790 | 590 | 200 |
| 2004 | 740 | 810 | -70 |
| 2005 | 1310 | 1450 | -140 |
| 2006 | 730 | 670 | 60 |
| 2007 | 1010 | 730 | 280 |
| 2008 | 1480 | 1570 | -90 |
| 2009 | 750 | 780 | -30 |
| Mean | 973 | 943 | 30 |

- Multinomial model won 4 out of 7 tournaments


## Model Comparison: Differing Picks

- What happens when models disagree?
- From 2003 to 2010 ( $1^{\text {st }}$ round), models disagreed 36 times
- Linear model selected 22 correctly ( $\hat{\pi}=22 / 36=61 \%$ )
- Multinomial model selected 14 correctly (39\%)
- $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ for $\pi$ : ( $43 \%$, $77 \%$ )


## Future Work

- Calculate confidence intervals
- Logistic regression/Markov chain (LRMC) model comparison
- Estimate model prediction error
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